

From: [Rick \[REDACTED\]](#)
To: [McDonnell, Kevin](#)
Cc: [Barnacle, Brian](#); [Cader-Thompson, Janice](#); [Healy, Mike](#); [Karen Nau](#); [Pocekay, Dennis](#); [Shribbs, John](#); -- City Clerk;
[Landlord Tenant Protections](#)
Subject: Landlord rights?
Date: Friday, March 3, 2023 10:31:11 AM

---Warning: Use caution before clicking any attachments. THIS EMAIL IS FROM OUTSIDE OUR EMAIL SYSTEM.---

Dear Honorable Mayor McDonnell and Council members,

I strongly urge the City Council to listen to its community and put an end to the Residential Tenancy Ordinance.

It is our intent to provide safe and attractive rental housing to out tenants. This anti-housing law makes it nearly impossible to maintain rental properties, protect my residents, and make necessary improvements to older rental homes, as well as protecting landlords from unreasonable legislation and requirements. This 'ordinance' virtually prohibits us from doing so. Also, it is not our mission to provide charity housing. This is an income producing investment (not a business) for our retirement years. If the 'ordinance' is so restrictive that it impacts that plan, then it will have to be removed from the rental market.

As ethical housing providers, we are proud to provide homes for local families and work closely with our residents to meet their needs. The notion that we need new, strict rental housing regulations is beyond comprehension. We do not need to add layers of regulations and bureaucracy to California's existing Tenant Protection Act (AB 1482). Your proposal only causes further confusion and harm to all members (renters, and landlords) of the community.

Regards, R.S. Harvey